

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/449,077	11/24/1999	Dr. Thomas Hunig	12254/	5838
	590 03/14/2003			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON,			ROARK, JESSICA H 23	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/449,077	HUNIG, DR. THOMAS	
	Examin r.	Art Unit	Т
	Jessica H. Roark	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress
	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendment	N FOR ALLOWANCE. application. A proper rep	ly to a
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the m	nailing date of the final rejection.		•
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ex ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	e mailing date of the final reject S OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	e of the shortened statutory period for	ing amount of the fee. The app	ropriate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 27 February 200 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 c	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismi	filed within the period set to say the say of the appeal.	forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	and appoun	
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE helow):	
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims	2
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	, ,	r of mileny rojootod olamis	3. .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		n a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request to application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been on See Continuation Sheet.	considered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered would be rejected is provided	or b) will be entered ar	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:	эт эррэгийн	
Claim(s) allowed:	•	PHILLIP GAMBE	
Claim(s) objected to:		''''LLIF GAMBEL PH D	_
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,13-15 and 24</u> .		PRIMARY EXAMINER	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-12,16-2	23 and 25-40.	724 CANDOLO 3/13/03	000
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 Mar</u>	ch 2003 is a)⊠ approved or	b)□ disapproved by the	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(e)(DTO 1440) D	-> alsapproved by the	⊏xaminer.
- F	ың әдігі О-1449) Paper No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

i 18

ď

j

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Minimally, the addition of the limitation in claim 1 requiring that the antibody activate without being artificially crosslinked with a secondary antibody requires further search and consideration, and also raises the issue of New Matter.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: since the proposed amendment has not been entered the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record.